

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/967,187	09/967,187 09/28/2001		Gregory E. Howard	TI-29894	5978	
23494	7590	11/06/2003	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
		NTS INCORPOR	SOWAR	SOWARD, IDA M		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2822	-	

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/967,187	HOWARD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ida M Soward	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a note in the statutory minimum of thing will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · —						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.	·					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has b	een received.					
Attachment(s)	, , ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' amendment filed May 9, 2003.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(e) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 in view of Chambers et al. (6,124,180).

Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 teaches a bipolar junction transistor, comprising: a buried collector layer 20; a collector region 30 adjacent to the buried collector layer; a base region 40 adjacent to the collector region; and an emitter region 50 adjacent to the base region. Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 further teaches the same buried collector edge to base region edge distance 70 as the distance 90 of the claimed embodiment of Figure 2. However, Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 fails to teach a counterdoped collector

Art Unit: 2822

region. Chambers et al. teach a counterdoped collector region 12 (Figures 10, cols. 3-4, lines 10-67 and 1-46, respectively). Since Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 and Chambers et al. are both from the same field of endeavor (bipolar junction transistors), the purpose disclosed by Chambers et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figure 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the BJT structure of Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 with the BJT having a counterdoped collector region of Chambers et al. to permit the collector region to be more highly doped, hence providing a lower resistance collector (col. 4, lines 40-43).

Claims 2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 and Chambers et al. (6,124,180) in view of Kabir et al. (US 6,346,452 B1).

Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 in view of Chambers et al. teaches all mentioned in the rejection above. However, Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 in view of Chambers et al. fails to teach at least one of the dopant species in the collector region having a dopant concentration greater than $0.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, a SiGe base, and types of scattering centers. Kabir et al. teach that at least part of the collector region has a doping concentration of $2 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, a SiGe base (col. 5, lines 7-14) and neutral phosphorus scattering centers (cols. 2-3, lines 66-67 & 1-42, respectively). Since Admitted Prior Art Figure 1, Chambers et al. and Kabir et al. are from the same field of endeavor (bipolar junction transistors), the purpose disclosed by Kabir et al. would have been recognized in the

Art Unit: 2822

pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figure 1 in view of Chambers et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the BJT structure of Admitted Prior Art Figure 1, the BJT having a counterdoped collector region of Chambers et al. and by incorporating the collector doping concentration, SiGe base and phosphorus scattering centers of Kabir et al. to increase the frequency performance of bipolar transistors (cols. 2-4, lines 66-67 and 1-2, respectively).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to bipolar transistors:

Hastings et al. (5,933,034)

Sirsi (4,283,236).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-

Art Unit: 2822

3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9319

for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims October 30, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5